

Errata for SP 800-76

Date	Section/Table, Page	Change
3/13/06	Sec.3.3.2 Para 1. Page 12	<p>"Further, each finger's template record shall be individually wrapped in the CBEFF structure..." shall read as "Further both fingers' template records shall be wrapped in a single CBEFF structure ..."</p> <p>April 17, 2006: NOTE: The intent here is that the minutia record from both the primary and secondary fingers should reside within a single INCITS 378 record. That means there shall be:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. one instance of the CBEFF Header (Table 7, Sec. 6, SP800-76) 2. one instance of the "General Record Header" (Sec. 6.4 on INCITS 378) 3. two instances of the "Finger View Record" (Sec. 6.5 of INCITS 378) 4. one instance of the CBEFF Signature Block (Table 7, Sec. 6, SP800-76) <p>The placement of both fingers' templates in one INCITS 378 record is a departure from all 2004 and 2005 drafts of Special Publication 800-76. Now a single read from a PIV Card will yield this single record containing both templates.</p> <p>Notice that an errata on SP 800-73-1 has been issued (April 17, 2006) to affirm this.</p>
3/13/06	Table 3, Line 24, Column "PIV Conformance Values Allowed"	The value "1" shall read as "2".
3/28/06	Table 3, Line 28, Column "PIV Conformance Values Allowed"	<p>The value "1" shall read as "0"</p> <p>April 26, 2006: Per [MINUSTD, clause 6.5.1.2] this field shall be 0 for the primary finger and 0 for the secondary finger. The combination of view number and finger position uniquely identifies each template.</p>
3/13/06	Table 3, Line 22, Column "PIV Conformance Values Allowed"	The value "500" shall read as "197".
3/13/06	Table 3, Line 23, Column "PIV Conformance Values Allowed"	The value "500" shall read as "197".
3/13/06	Table 3, Normative Note 4, Page 14	"Capture Device ID" shall read as "Capture Equipment ID"
3/28/06	Table3, Line 2, Column "PIV Conformance Values Allowed"	Should read "Note 6" not "note 2"?
3/28/06	Table 3, Line 6, Column "PIV Conformance Values Allowed"	Should read "Note 6" not "note 2"?
3/28/06	Table 3, Line 17, Column "Informative Remarks"	Should read "Note 3" not "note 4"?
3/28/06	Table 3, Line 19, Column "Informative Remarks"	Should read "Note 4" not "note 5"?
3/28/06	Table 12, Line 19, Column "Informative Remarks"	Should read "Note 6" not "note 2"?
4/18/06	Table 3, Line 14	Replace value "0x30323000" with "0x20323000"

		Replace "020\0" with " 20\0" (i.e. replace ASCII '0' with ASCII ' ' (space) instead
4/18/06	Table 3, Note 1	Replace "The second paragraph of [MINUSTD, 6.4.2] shall be ignored" with "The practice of [MINUSTD, 6.4.2] of using an ASCII space character as the first character of the version number shall be followed."
4/18/06	Table 12, Line 2	Replace value "0x30323000" with "0x20323000" Replace "020\0" with " 20\0" (i.e. replace ASCII '0' with ASCII ' ' (space) instead.
4/26/06	Table 3, Line 20 and Line 21	Insert in the "Informative Remarks" column: "See Note 10". Insert new Note 10 below Table 3: When two or more templates are stored in the record, and these are extracted from images of different size, [MINUSTD] does not give guidance on how to report the image sizes in the header. For PIV, the width on Line 20 shall be the larger of the widths of the two input images. Similarly the height on Line 21 shall be the larger of the heights of the two input images.